

Form for External Reviewers


This form is divided into two blocks: the first deals with the **formal and structural aspects of the text**, and the second with **the content and scientific rigour**. At the end of the form there is a question that only allows us to select a recommendation from the reviewer, which may be:

- **Accepted:** the text can be published without objections.
- **Accepted with minor corrections:** the text can be published once minor stylistic, grammatical, bibliographical deficiencies, etc., have been corrected.
- **Accepted with major corrections:** the text requires an in-depth revision by the author on aspects related to the approach, methodological rigour, scientific language, manuscript structure, current topics, relevance of sources, etc.
- **Rejected:** the manuscript does not meet the minimum requirements of scientific quality.

The reviewer is advised that the author will be informed of the content of this report. Therefore, along with the use of rigour and the demand for scientific quality, reviewers are requested to make their comments with respect and courtesy.

FIRST BLOCK: FORMAL AND STRUCTURAL ASPECTS

General comments*:



STRUCTURE AND DISPLAY ORDER*

- Suitable
- Unsuitable

CLARITY AND LINGUISTIC CORRECTNESS*

Suitable Improvable Unsuitable

KEYWORDS*

Suitable Improvable Unsuitable

ENGLISH TITLE*

Suitable
 Unsuitable

TITLE*

Suitable
 Unsuitable

SECOND SECTION: METHODOLOGY AND CONTENT

General comments*:

TOPIC RELEVANCE*:

Maximum Average Minimum

ORIGINALITY/INNOVATION OF THE PROPOSAL/FOCUS*

SCIENTIFIC RIGOUR*

Maximum Average Minimum

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS*

EVALUTION RESULTS*

- Accepted
 Minor corrections required
 Majors corrections required
 Rejected

* Indicates required field

ISSN: 1696-019X / e-ISSN 2386-3978 / DOI: [10.31921/doxacom](https://doi.org/10.31921/doxacom)